| Income ..................... | Mr. Brown. ..... £200 | Mr. Jones. $£ 200$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Direct Taxes |  | Identical |
| Indirect Taxes- |  |  |
| On $1 \frac{1}{2}$ pints of beer day for 365 days .... | £ | Nil |
| On 1 bottle of whisky week for 52 weeks . | £ | Nil |
| On 2 ozs. of tobacco week | £ | Nil |

Hitherto I have been doing all the statistical work for my readers. Unfortunately, I have not the data at hand to give Mr. Brown's total contribution to H.M. Revenue, but £10 per annum would certainly be below the mark.

Let us suppose that each man pays £2 10s. Income-tax and $£ 210$ s. other indirect taxes on tea, coffee, cocoa, currants, raisins, sugar, etc. Our sum will then stand thus-

| Income-tax | Mr. Brown. |  | Mr. Jones. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | £2 10 | 0 | $£ 2$ |  | 0 |
| Indirect Taxes- |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tea, Currants, etc. | 210 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0 |
| Beer, Tobacco, etc. | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Taxes paid | $£ 150$ | 0 |  |  | 0 |

Now, in the course of the year preceding the war Mr . Jones saved £100. This is represented by-


Primarily the above supposititious case proves the injustice of Indirect Taxation, and what we have to establish is whether

