

The only numerically important class was :—

*Workers other than waiters.*

In London the percentage number of workers in this class receiving less than 15s. a week was 38.1. The corresponding percentage number for Great Britain was 52.7.

(b) *Estimated total remuneration* (including estimated value of meals).

The lower quartile was 20s., the median was 24s., and the upper quartile was 27s. The figure taken for purposes of comparison is 25s.

The majority of workers of all occupations in this age group received estimated total weekly remuneration of 20s. 1d. to 30s. (inclusive), 62.6 per cent. being included in this range.

*Workers other than waiters.*

In London the percentage number of workers in this class whose estimated total remuneration was 25s. or less was 51.9. The corresponding percentage number for Great Britain was 65.4.

43. *Male Workers aged 18 to 20 (inclusive) receiving meals* (Tables 13, 18, 21 and 22).

(a) *Cash receipts only.*

The lower quartile was 18s., the median was 24s., and the upper quartile was 30s. The figure taken for purposes of comparison is 25s.

The majority of workers of all occupations in this age group received cash remuneration of 15s. to 30s. (inclusive) per week, 75.7 per cent. being included within this range.

The only numerically important class was :—

*Workers other than waiters.*

In London the percentage number of workers in this class receiving less than 25s. a week was 52.7. The corresponding percentage number for Great Britain was 54.8.

(b) *Estimated total remuneration* (including estimated value of meals).

The lower quartile was 28s., the median was 32s., and the upper quartile was 38s. The figure taken for purposes of comparison is 30s.

The majority of workers of all occupations in this age group received estimated total weekly remuneration of 25s. 1d. to 40s. (inclusive), 68.8 per cent. being included within this range.