

Senator HEFLIN. Did you not the other day, before the House Judiciary Committee, associate Mr. McFadden and his company along with Clayton & Co.?

Mr. MARSH. I was asked a specific question there—who brought cotton to New York—and I answered, Anderson, Clayton & Co. and George H. McFadden & Bro.

Senator HEFLIN. I believe you said a moment ago that McFadden & Bro. did bring some in under the same conditions that those other gentlemen did?

Mr. MARSH. Yes, sir; it was so understood, and I think it is the case.

Representative RANKIN. They shipped 19,000 bales from Savannah last September at a loss, did they not?

Mr. MARSH. I do not know that.

Representative RANKIN. If they did ship 19,000 bales up there last September it would be at a loss of anywhere from \$3 to \$5 a bale, would it not?

Mr. MARSH. If they did it; yes.

Representative RANKIN. Mr. Chairman, I am going to suggest that we let McFadden & Bro. understand, if it is satisfactory to the chairman, that they will have an opportunity to appear here; and if they do not, I think we ought to summon them.

Senator HEFLIN. Is it not a fact that Mr. McFadden and his company and Anderson, Clayton & Co. have issued spot notices among themselves?

Mr. MARSH. I think that is true.

May I say just one brief word in this connection so that it may appear in the record?

Senator SMITH. Yes.

Mr. MARSH. It is this, that the withdrawal from the New York stock of what is necessarily the poorest quality of it has been immediately followed by a relative improvement of the price of cotton in New York. Within the past six weeks the price in New York, instead of being as low as the price in New Orleans or lower, has advanced to approximately a 25-point premium over the price in New Orleans. That indicates the judgment of the trade as to the price effect of, we will say, twenty-five or thirty thousand bales of the worst of the cotton that was shipped to New York.

Senator SMITH. It is now approximately the time for us to take a recess.

Before any of the members of the committee leave let me say that it is not the purpose of the committee to meet to-morrow. It is apparent that if the condition alleged to have been brought about and existing during the period under discussion did exist, it affected all the other exchanges in this country, and it is the purpose of the committee to have representatives from all the other exchanges before this committee to testify as to what in their opinion are the facts relative to this price control; and in the course of this investigation it is the purpose of the committee to have these representatives appear, and I would state, further, that it is also the purpose of the committee, under the scope of the resolution, to have manufacturers appear and give their testimony; to have the merchants who sell the cotton goods appear before this committee and give their testimony relating to all the pertinent facts which may be