

r. BYRNS. Have you any idea?

r. PARKER. We have never been told about that. We have never been told about the inside operations of the Federal marketing machinery. We do not know how much cotton they have; we do not know how many futures they have bought; and we do not know how much they have sold. All of that is matter that has not come in their reports.

r. BYRNS. I do not suppose it amounts to 50 per cent of the crop, or anything like that.

r. PARKER. No, sir.

r. BYRNS. Is it about 15 per cent?

r. PARKER. Normally, I should say, about 10 or 15 per cent.

r. BYRNS. Do I understand that it is your contention that the release or holding of this 10 or 15 per cent of the cotton crop is having this effect upon those who handle the other portion of the crop, or who would normally handle the other portion, by reason of the fear that they may have, or from the fact that they do not know what might be done with this 10 or 15 per cent of the crop, when it might be released?

r. PARKER. As much cotton as that, distributed in warehouses, under the financial responsibility of 1,000 merchants, would not be an influence on the market as it is when it is concentrated under the power of one group of men, or one man, or one single agency. Whether they will dispose of it, or not, we do not know. Nobody knows what will happen, and, consequently, the merchant is inclined to hold it aside.

r. DICKINSON. It has been my impression that an effort has been made to hold this up as a "bugaboo" rather than as an actuality, and that a lot of people were putting this up rather as an excuse, because of the fact that people are not taking any risks, and they are not doing things. I do not care what line of activity you go into, but it is being curtailed everywhere.

r. PARKER. Yes; I grant that.

r. AYRES. I am very much interested in your statement that this machinery is available: May I ask you what constitutes that machinery?

r. PARKER. It is the machinery of the American Cotton Shippers Association, comprising something like 1,000 trained merchants, who are well capitalized, and who have banking facilities for handling the cotton. They buy cotton from the farmer, as it is offered, paying for it therefor, and immediately selling futures to hedge it. That, of course, is a bona fide contract for the delivery of cotton. Then, they are able to borrow from the banks practically 100 per cent of the value of the cotton, because they have the sale already made. Now, they do not necessarily deliver that cotton on the futures contract. It is better to sell it as spot cotton to the consumer, in which case he is not in that contract, so that whatever he loses on one he makes on another. But if they can not find a spot buyer, or a spinner buyer, they go through with that cotton on the futures contract. They get their money back, and can pay the bank. That is the primary machinery. The secondary machinery is for the export of cotton by foreign merchants, who handle it in Europe, where there is a demand. This new agency has adversely affected the market.

