tractors as yet on the collective farms (and there still a considerable shortage of tractors, despite eir ever wider distribution throughout the agricularal areas of the U. S. S. R.), the advantages of ge-scale production are clearly in evidence.

C1 B1 A1
atch Reference numbers on UTT
ering Scan Reference Chart TE263

C2

A2

85

20

8

6

£9

0.2

5.4

10

60

03

02

First of all, one must point out the increase in the oductivity of agricultural labor as a consequence of e uniting of the small peasant holdings into large llective farms. Thus the number of hectares sown r farm laborer in the collective farms has increased, comparison with the peasant holdings prior to their trance into the collective farms (in 1929): in the craine—31.6 per cent; in the Middle Volga Region—73.1 per cent; in the Central Black Soil Region—0.0 per cent; in the Lower Volga Region—78.0 per nt; in the Northern Caucasus—50 per cent.

At the same time, there has been a considerable rease in the utilization of draft cattle, which in the all peasant holdings had never been fully utilized. sus, according to budgetary data, the percentage of aft cattle which were not used had been as follows:

In the Ukraine—68.6 per cent.
In the Northern Caucasus—78 per cent.

In the collective farms the draft cattle have been lized much more productively than was the case the petty peasant holdings. In the Ukraine the lization of draft cattle has increased, in comparition (in 1929), 24.1 per cent; in the Middle Volga gion—30 per cent; in the Central Black Soil Region 7.4 per cent; in the Lower Volga Region—25.2 per it; in the Northern Caucasus—34.6 per cent.

The significant increase in the productive possibilities